the personal security of the promoters of the Company.

2. The Mysore Tobacco Company, Ltd., Bangalore—All the moveable and Immoveable assets of the Company valued at Rs. 9,43,129-6-2.

3. Radio & Electricals Manufacturing Co., Ltd.,—Factory Buildings valued up to Rs. 4,44,531-14-0, plant and machinery of approximate cost of Rs. 6,18,765 and floating assets valued at Rs. 14,37,178.

4. Sri Ganesha Textile Mills, Ltd., Davangere—Lands, Buildings, Machinery and floating assets valued at about Rs. 50 lakhs.

5. Sri Shankara Textile Mills Ltd, Davangere— Land, Buildings, Machinery and floating assets valued at about Rs. 50,72,000.

6. Mysore Silk Filatures Ltd., T. Narasipur—Lands, Buildings, machinery and floating assets valued at about Rs. 21,59,000.

7. Mysore Chrome Tanning Company, Ltd.,— Land, Buildings, Machinery and floating asset valued at about Rs. 8,24,000.

Industrial and Dairy Improvement Loans.

*Q.—153. **Sri B. N. BORANNA GOWDA** (Hassan District—General).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the persons who have obtained industrial and dairy improvement loans in Hassan District ;

(*b*) the total amount of such loans ;

(*c*) whether the loan amounts have been utilised for the purpose for which they were granted ;

(*d*) whether there are any persons in this District who having applied for these industrial and such other loans have not yet got them sanctioned ;

(*e*) whether they could give their names ;

(*f*) the reasons for not sanctioning their loan applications ?

*A.—**Sri H. C. DASAPPA** (Minister for Finance and Industries).—

(*a*) Industrial loans.—

M/s Bharati Trading and Industrial Co., Hassan.

Dairy Improvement Loans.—

Sriyuts.

1. Puppegowda, District Board Member, Komaranahalli, Belur Taluk—Rs. 5,000 paid on 3rd June 1949.
2. Annegowda, Proprietor, Kaltaya Dairy Farm, Hassan—Rs. 5,000 paid on 2nd September 1949.
3. A. B. N. Sharma and Alasinglachar, Hassan—Rs. 2,000.
4. B. Nanjappa, Basavaghatta, Hassan Taluk—Rs. 5,000.

(*b*) Industrial loan : Rs. 50,000

Dairy Improvement Loan : Rs. 17,000

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Industrial Loans.—

Messrs.—

1. C. N. Srinivasamurthy, Hassan.
2. H. K. Gangadhariah, Hassan.
3. M. A. S. Varadachar, Holenarasipur.
4. G. Lakshminaranappa, Hassan.
5. A. G. Gopalakrishna, Hassan.
6. N. Janardhana Setty, Holenarasipur.
7. G. Singa Iyengar, Shantigrama.
8. K. L. Narayana Rao, Arsikere.
9. H. K. Rangappa Setty.
10. T. Abdul Kareem Khan.
11. Hebbale Suryanarayana Rao.

Dairy Improvement Loans.—

Messrs:—

1. Puttappaiya, Hebbale;
2. R. S. Gunduramiah, Holenarasipur;
3. H. T. Narasimhachar, Land holder, Heragu; and
4. Ramalingegowda, Mallaghatta, Banavar;

(*f*) The loan applications were rejected in three cases (items 9, 10 and 11) after completing the investigation, for reasons of in adequate security, absence of necessity or scope for success of enterprise and want of natural facilities and the remaining eight cases were pending completion of investigation and held up as per Government Order directing the suspension of the grant of loans, etc.

Either for inexperience in Dairy line or in view of the additional demand for Kaval Lands which the Department was not prepared to relinquish in the larger interests of Amrit Mahal Cattle for which these lands are required.

Granting of Bus Licenses.

*Q.—**162.** Janab **MOHAMED HANEEF** (Bangalore—Muslim).—

Will the Government be pleased to state —

(*a*) the number of new bus permits that were granted to parties for plying buses after the Road Transport was taken over by the Government;

(*b*) the names and addresses of those parties;

(*c*) whether there is a prescribed procedure under any law or standing order or rule under which permits to parties could be granted;

(*d*) if so, what the procedure is?

A.—**Sri H. SIDDAIYA** (Minister for Revenue and Excise).—

(*a*) 115.

(*b*) Statement-A, printed below

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Any applicant desiring to serve the travelling public by running a bus service, it is contemplated under the Rules, must first petition to the District Magistrate or District Magistrates concerned clearly explaining how the travelling public are put to difficulty for want of a bus service on the route proposed by him and how the existing services are not adequate to serve the needs of the travelling public and how the sanctioning of a bus service proposed by him would ease the traffic conjestion and provide the needed facility to the travelling public. If the District Magistrate or District Magistrates concernad are satisfied as to the *bona fides* of the petitioner in urging for the grant of a service on the route proposed by the petitioner, the subject will be placed for discussion before the District Traffic Advisory Committee or Committees and forward the resolutions of the Committee or Committees with their opinion to the Traffic Board. The Traffic Board will consider the proposal and accord sanction if it agrees with the resolutions of the District Traffic Advisory Committee or Committees and the opinion of the District Magistrate or Magistrates thereon. Thereafter the sanctioned line will be advertised for disposal in Gazette, and the selection of permit holder or holders made by the Board or by the District Magistrate, under instructions from the Board, the best candidate, from among the applicants, having requisite qualifications as contemplated in the Rules, being selected.